Transform your monolith into a nice SOA application

About – me



- Backend developer
- Open-source enthusiast
- Enjoy
 - Working
 - Traveling
 - Tractors

About – this presentation

• Focused on the migration of a live application

- Make your app easy to
 - Work with
 - Understand
 - Scale

Plan

- SOA architecture
- Refactoring
- Best Practices
- Migration



SOA Architecture

Concepts

• Principles

• SOA in your application



SOA - Concepts

Business value	Technical strategy
Strategic goals	Project-specific benefits
Inter-operability	Custom integration
Shared services	Specific-purpose implementations
Flexibility	Optimization
Evolutionary refinement	Initial perfection

SOA - Principles

- Agnostic services
 - Abstraction: services act as black boxes
 - Statelessness: return the requested value or give an exception
 - Composability: services can be used to compose other services
 - Reusability: logic is divided into various services, to promote reuse of code
 - Encapsulation: services which were not initially planned under SOA, may get encapsulated or become a part of SOA

Encapsulation

\$container['projectManager'] = \$container->share(function(\$container){
 require_once(LIBRARY . '/core/project/projectManager.php');
 return \ProjectManager::getInstance();
});

SOA in your application - kill the monolith !

- Break coupling!
- Use dependency injection
- Composability: Play Lego (TM)



Refactoring

- Concept
- Daily work
 - TDD refactoring
- Optimistic refactoring
 - Litter-Pickup Refactoring
 - Comprehension Refactoring
- Before starting a development
 - Preparatory refactoring
- Large-scale restructuring tasks
 - Planned Refactoring
 - Long-Term Refactoring







Refactoring : what is it ?

"A change made to the internal structure of software to make it easier to understand and cheaper to modify without changing its observable behavior."

Martin Fowler

Refactoring – two hats





Daily Work - TDD refactoring

• Write failing test

describe the expected behaviors of your functionality using assertions

Make test pass

focus on adding the new functionality, without thinking about how this functionality should be best structured.



Concentrate on good design, while working in the safer refactoring mode of small steps on a green test base

Optimistic refactoring - Litter-Pickup Refactoring

• Boy scout rule

always leave the code better than when you found it.

• Cleaning up code as we work in it

make things quicker for us the next time we need to work with it

Optimistic refactoring -Comprehension refactoring

• Implement clear code is hard

often you can only tell how to make it clear when someone else looks at it, or you come back to it at a later date.

• Build your understanding of the problem

whenever you have to figure out what code is doing, you are building some understanding in your head.

• Move it into the code



so nobody has to build it from scratch in their head again

Optimistic Refactoring

- Good move if
 - simple fix
 - will make it easier to add the feature you're working on
- Requirements
 - tested and stable codebase
 - require less than [SUBJECTIVE_VALUE] % of the time to develop the feature



Preparatory refactoring

• Refactor codebase before adding a new functionality

Good move if

- Overall change is faster than implementation on entire codebase
- Codebase will be used in many places
- Codebase is fully tested (if not, split in two tasks)

Large-scale - Planned Refactoring

- Fix larger areas on problematic code
- The more you'll work with quality approach, the less you'll have to do it
- If it happens often, incorporate optimistic & planned refactoring processes in your daily work

Large-scale - Long-Term Refactoring

- Clearly define your needs
- Use branch by abstraction to reduce risk
- Code has to be stable at the end of every small step

Best practices

- Decoupling
- SOLID Pattern
- Test Automation
 - Unit Testing
 - Functional/Integration Testing
 - End-User Testing
 - Code Coverage
- Monitoring



Decoupling

- Law of Demeter
- SOLID principles
- Dependency Injection
- Events
- Event bus

Law of demeter – counter-example



Events – Be careful !





Automated testing

- Unit testing
- Integration & functional testing
- End-user testing
- Code coverage



Unit Testing

- Test algorithms/methods individually
- Mock dependencies
- Cover all scenarios
- Don't interact with environment

Integration & Functional Testing

• Combine units of code and test combination functions correctly

• Test the result of an entire workflow by providing inputs and testing outputs

• Unit test OK

 Integration tests missing



End-User Testing

- Access the application
- Test what is displayed to end-user
- Based on scenarios
- Use test description specification language

Code coverage

DON'T make it a target

- no correlation with code quality
- focus on risky code
 - cause critical bugs
 - used in many places
 - tricky algorithm
- 10% coverage for 100% of scenarios is far better than 100% coverage for 10% of scenarios

Monitoring

- Profile and monitor to identify
 - Bottlenecks
 - Heaviest parts of your application
 - Make a distinction between I/O and processing
- Some tools
 - Xhprof
 - Valgrind
 - Pinba

Tools

- Compatibility tests
 - Concept
 - Example
- Indicators
 - CRAP Index
 - Progression Metrics
- Monitoring



Compatibility tests - concept

• Ensure you don't break compatibility

• Help to make the migration safe

Short-lived tests

Compatibility test - example

* @group compatibility

public function testProjectRepositoryLoad()

global \$container;

// assert we're compatible on load without sponsorUser acl check
\$projectOld = \$container['projectManager']->loadProject('project1', 1);
\$projectNew = \$container['projectSecuredRepository']->load('project1', \$this->sf);
\$this->assertEquals(\$projectOld, \$projectNew);

// assert we're compatible on with sponsorUser acl check OK
\$projectOld = \$container['projectManager']->loadProject('project1', 1, 1);
\$projectNew = \$container['projectSecuredRepository']->load('project1', \$this->sf, \$this->su);
\$this->assertEquals(\$projectOld, \$projectNew);

// test without sponsorUser acl check but with incorrect sponsorFrontend
\$projectOld = \$container['projectManager']->loadProject('project2', 1);
\$projectNew = \$container['projectSecuredRepository']->load('project2', \$this->sf);
\$this->assertNull(\$projectOld);
\$this->assertNull(\$projectNew);

Indicators – CRAP index

- Change Risk Analysis and Predictions
- based on coverage & complexity
- identify parts of your code with higher risk

Progression metrics

• Keep it (very) simple

• Make product managers happy

Monitoring

 Keep an eye on performance when you replace a module

Use anomaly detection and alerting to spot regressions

Put it all together – kill the monolith !



How to spot bad code that is easy to migrate

- Not used in too many places (easy to deploy, reduce conflicts)
- Logic will be easy to split
- Compatibility test will be fast to implement

Steps to kill old code – easy task

- Write agnostic services, with all dependencies injected
- Write compatibility tests
- Replace old code usage by your brand new service
- Remove old code and compatibility test
- For each method migrated :
 - Global complexity will decrease
 - Coverage will increase
 - => CRAP (risk) index naturally goes down

Steps to kill old code – complicated task (plan A)

- Implement new agnostic services
- Write compatibility test
- Inject new services in old manager (dependencies of the method)
- Replace smoothly in sequential small tasks

Plan A - example



Steps to kill old code – complicated task (plan B)

- Inject old manager in your new services
- Mock methods which are dependencies of the method to kill
- Call dependencies methods using the manager injected
- These methods will be your next targets

Plan B - example

```
class FormRepository implements FormRepositoryInterface {
```

```
/**
```

```
* @var \FormManager form manager legacy service
```

```
* to use legacy methods dependencies without breaking
```

```
* law of demeter
```

```
*/
```

protected \$formManager;

```
/**
* @var Doctrine\DBAL\Connection database connection
*/
protected $dbal;
```

```
public function __construct(FormManager $formManager, Connection $dbal)
{
    $this->formManager = $formManager;
    $this->dbal = $dbal;
}
```

Keep in mind

- Small steps
 - Easier to release
 - Avoid regressions
- Every step should end in a stable state
 - All new services have to be tested
 - Your code coverage will increase naturally
- Start where it hurts !

To infinity and beyond : moving to a microservice environment

- Initial purpose of SOA
- Small webservices, single responsibility
- Try to keep a consistent communication protocol in your ecosystem
- API-first architecture



You can start within your framework !

- A service in your dependency injection container could become a simple wrapper to an external micro-service
- Good way to kill your old framework by moving parts of code to stand-alone agnostic microapplications

Initial State



Intermediate state



"Close to the end" state



Inter-services communication : be careful !







There is no silver bullet !

Thank you !

Are you interested in solving similar problems? Join our team, we're hiring!







Resources

- https://martinfowler.com/
- http://blogs.mulesoft.com/
- http://www.artima.com/weblogs/viewpost.jsp?thread=210575
- http://www.exampler.com/testing-com/writings/coverage.pdf
- http://engineering.dailymotion.com/monitor-your-application-using-pinba/

Questions?

